

1 JOHN S. FERRELL (SBN 154914)
2 jferrell@carrferrell.com
3 ILENE H. GOLDBERG (SBN 168051)
4 igoldberg@carrferrell.com
5 CARR & FERRELL LLP
6 120 Constitution Drive
7 Menlo Park, California 94025
8 Telephone: (650) 812-3400
9 Facsimile: (650) 812-3444

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
7 TECHNICAL LED INTELLECTUAL
8 PROPERTY, LLC

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 TECHNICAL LED INTELLECTUAL
12 PROPERTY, LLC, a Delaware limited
13 liability company,

13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 REVOGI, LLC, a California limited
16 liability company,

17 Defendant.

Case No.

**COMPLAINT FOR PATENT
INFRINGEMENT (U.S. PATENT NO.
RE41,685)**

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

18
19 This is an action for patent infringement in which Technical LED Intellectual Property,
20 LLC (“Technical LED” or “Plaintiff”) makes the following allegations against Revogi, LLC
21 (“Revogi” or “Defendant”):

22 **PARTIES**

23 1. Plaintiff Technical LED is a Delaware limited liability company, with its principal
24 place of business located at 251 Little Falls Dr., Wilmington, DE 19808.

25 2. On information and belief, Defendant has a principal place of business and a USA
26 sales office at 101 First St., Suite 440, Los Altos, CA.

27 ///

28 ///

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1
2 3. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United
3 States Code. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and
4 1338(a).

5 4. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b). On
6 information and belief, Defendant has transacted business in this district, and has committed
7 and/or induced acts of patent infringement in this district.

8 5. On information and belief, Defendant is subject to this Court’s specific and general
9 personal jurisdiction pursuant to due process and/or California’s Long Arm Statue, due at least to
10 its substantial business in this forum, including: (i) at least a portion of the infringements alleged
11 herein; (ii) regularly doing or soliciting business, engaging in other persistent courses of conduct,
12 and/or deriving substantial revenue from goods and services provided to individuals in California
13 and in this Judicial District; and having a regular and established place of business in this Judicial
14 District.

INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT

15
16 6. Pursuant to N.D. Civil Local Rule 3-2(c), this is an intellectual property action
17 subject to district-wide assignment.

COUNT I

18
19 Infringement of U.S. Patent No. RE41,685

20 7. Plaintiff is the owner by assignment of United States Patent No. RE41,685 (“the
21 ’685 Patent”) titled “Light Source with Non-White and Phosphor-Based White LED Devices and
22 LCD Assembly.” The ’685 Patent reissued on September 14, 2010. A true and correct copy of
23 the ’685 Patent is attached as Exhibit A.

24 8. Upon information and belief, Defendant directly or through intermediaries has
25 been and is now infringing the ‘685 Patent in the State of California, in this judicial district, and
26 elsewhere in the United States, by, making, using, providing, supplying, distributing, selling,
27 and/or offering for sale products (including at least its website at www.revogi.com) further
28 including Revogi light bulbs, its Delite 2 Smart LED lights and similar type assemblies,

1 comprising a light source that infringes one or more claims of the '685 Patent and particularly,
2 e.g., claims 10 through 14 of the '685 Patent. The '685 Patent reads on Revogi, light bulbs as set
3 forth in the exemplary claims chart attached as Exhibit B.

4 9. Upon information and belief and in view of the foregoing, Defendant has been and
5 is continuing to directly infringe, literally infringe, and/or infringe the '685 Patent under the
6 doctrine of equivalents. Defendant is thus liable for infringement of the '685 Patent pursuant to
7 35 U.S.C. § 271.

8 10. As a result of Defendant's infringement of the '685 Patent, Plaintiff has suffered
9 monetary damages and is entitled to a money judgment in an amount adequate to compensate for
10 Defendant's infringement, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty for the use made of the
11 invention by Defendant, together with interest and costs as fixed by the Court, and Plaintiff will
12 continue to suffer damages in the future unless Defendant's infringing activities are enjoined by
13 this Court. Unless a permanent injunction is issued enjoining Defendant and its agents, servants,
14 employees, representatives, affiliates, and all others acting on in active concert therewith from
15 infringing the '685 Patent, Plaintiff will be greatly and irreparably harmed.

16 **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

17 WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court enter:

- 18 1. A judgment in favor of Plaintiff that Defendant has infringed the '685 Patent;
- 19 2. A permanent injunction enjoining Defendant and its officers, directors, agents
20 servants, affiliates, employees, divisions, branches, subsidiaries, parents, and all others acting in
21 active concert therewith from infringement, inducing the infringement of, or contributing to the
22 infringement of '685 Patent, or such other equitable relief the Court determines is just and proper;
- 23 3. A judgment and order requiring Defendant pay to Plaintiff its damages, costs,
24 expenses, and prejudgment and post-judgment interest for Defendant's infringement of the '685
25 Patent as provided under 35 U.S.C. § 284, and an accounting of ongoing post-judgment
26 infringement; and
- 27 4. Any and all other relief, at law or equity, to which Plaintiff may show itself to be
28 entitled.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: June 27, 2018

CARR & FERRELL LLP

By: /s/ John S. Ferrell

JOHN S. FERRELL
ROBERT J. YORIO
ILENE H. GOLDBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff
TECHNICAL LED INTELLECTUAL
PROPERTY, LLC

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Technical LED, under Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, requests a trial by jury of any issues so triable by right.

Dated: June 27, 2018

CARR & FERRELL LLP

By: /s/ John S. Ferrell

JOHN S. FERRELL

ROBERT J. YORIO
ILENE H. GOLDBERG

Attorneys for Plaintiff
TECHNICAL LED INTELLECTUAL
PROPERTY, LLC